JAM D B 2004 SO JUST STATE THAT & THAT STATE THE PARTY & THE PARTY STATE OF THE PARTY STA

Vicky L. Grody 183 Robert Hensley Road Hohenwald, TN 38462-5653 931-796-1713 vlg@volstate.net

Mail Stop Missing Parts Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Office: P/Sally

Attn: Mulemebet Gurmu Phone: 709-305-3235

Re:

Reply to Notice of Missing Parts

Reply to Notice Domestic Priority Data Not Consistent with PTO Records

January 03, 2004

Application Number: 10/041,987

Filing Date: 01/09/2002

Title of Invention: Portable Ambulatory Assist Rail Ramp System

Dear Mr. Gurmu,

This letter is in response to Filing Receipt *OC000000007514993* dated December 29, 2003.

Enclosed you will find:

Copy of 'Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application' PTO SB 01 (08-03) 'Declaration for Utility or Design Patent Application' PTO SB 21 (08-03) 'Transmittal Form' Attachment 1: Filing Receipt *OC000000007514993* dated 12/29/2003

Attachment 2: Filing Receipt *OC000000005751693* dated 12/29/2001

This is the second occurrence for my filing missing forms according to the USPTO. The first occurrence is dated 01/20/2001 for provisional application number 60/245,275. I had to forward copies of missing documents that were submitted.

My concern now is that, the provisional application 60/245,275 is not being recognized. There is a statement on your notice saying "(*)Data provided by applicant is not consistent with PTO records."

Both attachment 1 and attachment 2 refer to the above comment. I would like this corrected please.

A signed, raised seal, Oath was submitted with the provisional application 60/245,275.

Is it because, of the non-recognition of this application, that the Oath was not brought forward for the non-provisional application 10/041,987?

Is it because the Oath submitted with the provisional application disappeared like some of the other documents—so it is not on file?

Also, what about the 'Customer Number' 35961—is this no longer recognized? Do I stop using it because I understand that we are not to use the bar codes anymore?

If you could please take the time to investigate both applications, resolve the inconsistency issue and provide answers to my questions, I would greatly appreciate it.

Sincerely,

Vicky L. Grody